



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/889,769	09/24/2001	Joseph Zyss	15675P366	1129

7590 06/15/2004
Blakely Sokoloff Taylor & Zafman
12400 Wilshire Boulevard 7th Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90025

EXAMINER

MOHAMEDULLA, SALEHA R

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

1756

DATE MAILED: 06/15/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/889,769

Applicant(s)

ZYSS ET AL.

Examiner

Saleha R. Mohamedulla

Art Unit

1756

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 May 2004.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 14-113 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 14-65 and 78-113 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 66-77 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- 1) ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - 2) ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - 3) ☒ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 14-113 are pending. The 35 U.S.C. 103 rejection is withdrawn in view of Applicant's remarks and amendments.

Claim Objections

1. Claims 14-113 are objected to because of the following informalities: The independent claims include words in quotation marks. The quotation marks should be removed. Dependent claims are objected to as they include all the limitations of the independent claims. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claims 27-39, 53-65, 78-89 and 102-113 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

4. Claim 27 recites that a method of photoinducing a property in a structure and a method of using the structure (see lines 1-3). This is inappropriate because the claim is drawn to both a method of making a structure and a method of using the structure. These are distinct inventions and cannot be claimed in the same claim. Claims 28-39 are rejected as being dependent on claim 27. Claims 53, 78 and 102 recite similar limitations and dependent claims dependent on claims 53, 78 and 102 are rejected because of their dependency. Correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

6. Claims 14-26, 40-52 and 90-101 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by US# 6,440,638 to Golz et al.

Golz teaches a method of planarizing a layer of photoresist on a substrate by exposing the resist to radiation that the resist is sensitive to. Therefore, Golz teaches photoinducing optical properties into a material that comprises photosensitive molecular matter. The radiation is directed at the layer of photoresist at an oblique angle (Abstract; col. 5, lines 10-60). Therefore, Golz teaches that the write beams are parallel and are oblique relative to the structure. It is inherent that the structure is suitable for propagating read beams and presenting properties caused by pump beams. The present claims that recite that the structure is “suitable” for particular tasks, such as propagating read beams and presenting properties caused by pump beams, are not materially limited by those tasks, i.e., those tasks need not be performed. The figures also show mutually coherent write light beams. Light beams have multiple photons. Golz teaches that various parameters relating to the exposure of the resist may be varied. Golz

Art Unit: 1756

teaches varying the various parameters recited in the claims (col. 5, line 60 – col. 6, line 35).

Golz also teaches use of a mask.

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 27-39, 53-65, 78-89 and 102-113 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

8. Claims 66-77 are objected to but would be allowable if amended to overcome the claim objections set forth in this Office action.

9. The prior art does not teach or suggest that pump beams are caused or that an optical effect giving rise to a property in read beams is generated.

Response to Arguments

10. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claim have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Saleha Mohamedulla whose telephone number is (571) 272-1387. The Examiner can normally be reached Monday-Friday, from 8:00 AM to 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Mark Huff, can be reached on (571) 272-1385. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Art Unit: 1756

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Saleha R. Mohamedulla', with a stylized, flowing script.

Saleha R. Mohamedulla
Patent Examiner
Technology Center 1700
June 11, 2004